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Summary Cowden syndrome (CS) is a rare, autosomal dominant inherited disorder associated with multiple benign and malignant neoplasms, including breast cancer. Prophylactic resection of susceptible organs remains controversial.

We briefly describe the syndrome and review management of the risk of CS-associated malignancies. Three cases of bilateral risk-reducing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction are described.
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The phosphatase and tensin (PTEN) homologue on chromosome ten is a tumour suppressor gene involved in the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway which regulates several critical cellular functions including cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration. A germline mutation in the PTEN gene results in Cowden syndrome (CS) (Table 1a). CS has a Caucasian and female predominance and a prevalence of 1/200,000 population. Many cases are considered unrecognised due to the variable phenotype of the disease so this figure is likely to be an underestimate.

CS is associated with an increased risk of developing neoplasia of tissues derived from all three embryonic germ cell layers, especially of the breast, thyroid and endometrium. Management options range from observation and screening, to surgery for diagnosed cancer. The lifetime risk associated with the development of thyroid cancer, mostly follicular, is up to 10% in both genders compared to <1% in the general population. Endometrial cancer, mainly adenocarcinoma, has an estimated lifetime risk of up to 10% compared with <2.5% in the general population. The lifetime risk of developing breast cancer for a woman
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Table 1a The pathognomonic, major and minor features of Cowden Syndrome according to US National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Genetics/High Risk Panel operational diagnostic criteria for 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathognomonic features</th>
<th>Major features</th>
<th>Minor features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lhermitte-Duclos disease</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Structural thyroid lesions e.g. adenomas or multinodular goitres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucocutaneous neoplasms (trichilemmomas, acral keratoses, verucoid or papillomatous papules)</td>
<td>Follicular or papillary thyroid cancer</td>
<td>Mental retardation with IQ ( \leq 75 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macrocephaly</td>
<td>Gastro-intestinal tract hamartomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endometrial cancer</td>
<td>Fibrocystic breast disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lipomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fibromas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Genitourinary tumours or structural malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uterine fibroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1b Summary of the underlying breast disease and the breast reconstruction performed in each of the three cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Patient age, years</th>
<th>Site of lesion and result(s) of core biopsy</th>
<th>Breast cup size</th>
<th>Breast surgery performed</th>
<th>Breast reconstruction surgery performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>No breast lesions were identified</td>
<td>38C</td>
<td>Bilateral skin sparing mastectomy only removing the nipple</td>
<td>Immediate expander reconstruction: Natrelle (formerly McGhan), Style 150 short height expandable implants, Inamed Aesthetic Ltd., Co. Wicklow, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Right sided phyllodes tumour with associated intra duct lobular neoplasia</td>
<td>32B</td>
<td>Bilateral skin sparing mastectomy only removing the nipple</td>
<td>Expander as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>No breast lesions were identified</td>
<td>36DD</td>
<td>Bilateral skin sparing mastectomy only removing the nipple</td>
<td>DIEP and SIEA flaps: Deep inferior epigastric perforator, Superficial inferior epigastric artery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1c Summary of the characteristic features of Cowden Syndrome demonstrated in each of the three cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Macrocephaly</th>
<th>GIT disease</th>
<th>Thyroid disease</th>
<th>Genitourinary disease</th>
<th>Other lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Present      | Gastric, duodenal and colonic polyps | Previous thyroidectomy for benign nodular goitre | Previous risk-reducing hysterectomy | - Hamartomatous tongue nodules  
- Previous malignant melanoma  
- Hamartomatous tongue nodules  |
| 2    | Present      | Not present | Previous thyroidectomy for a papillary tumour of unknown malignant potential | Normal vaginal US/S and hysteroscopy  |
| 3    | Present      | Not present | Previous thyroidectomy for follicular carcinoma | Previous hysterectomy for uterine fibroids | Not present |

US/S, ultra sound scan.
Figure 1  Pre- and post-operative photographs of two patients who underwent bilateral risk-reducing mastectomy and immediate reconstruction with either implants (style 150 permanent expanders), (a) Left oblique view, (b) anterior-posterior view, (c) right lateral view, or autologous abdominal tissue, (d) right oblique view, (e) anterior-posterior view, (f) left lateral view.
diagnosed with CS is 50%, roughly five times that for the general population. The average age for this diagnosis is 36–46 years and the predominant histology is invasive ductal adenocarcinoma.

Bilateral mastectomy is increasingly performed for the management of genetic susceptibility to breast cancer but there is insufficient literature regarding this type of surgery for CS patients. We describe three patients with CS undergoing bilateral mastectomy with immediate breast reconstruction.

Case reports

Three patients, meeting CS clinical criteria (Table 1a) and having the PTEN mutation genetically confirmed, presented to the Cambridge Breast Unit and were referred to the Plastic Surgery Department for consultation regarding breast reconstruction. For details of the patients’ underlying breast disease and the reconstructive procedure performed see Table 1b. One of the patients had previously been adequately treated for a phyllodes tumour and 7 months later she underwent risk-reducing mastectomy for prophylaxis of breast cancer. The characteristics of CS demonstrated in each patient are summarised in Table 1c.

Discussion

We would like to share our experience of the disease and highlight plastic surgical aspects of this condition so as to guide other plastic surgeons with respect to the reconstructive treatment options available. In our cases, we performed bilateral risk-reducing mastectomies with immediate reconstruction because of the patients’ young age (Table 1b) and their future risk of developing breast cancer.

Two of our patients underwent implant-based reconstruction. In our opinion, prosthetic reconstruction is the first choice for bilateral procedures because it is a relatively simple technique which easily achieves symmetry as there is no natural breast to match (Figure 1a–c). Although expanders/implants are best suited for small to medium sized breasts historically,9,10 the newer expandable implants can be used to reconstruct larger breasts11,12 and were used in two of the three cases presented here. We prefer permanent expandable implants for reconstructions in patients undergoing prophylactic mastectomy as the likelihood of needing radiotherapy is extremely small and hence the risk of significant radiation-induced capsular contracture (requiring operative intervention) is minimal.13,14 A further consideration in implant-based reconstruction is the...
possibility of undertaking other prophylactic surgery on the uterus or thyroid at the same time. Ideally, when implants are used, no other operation should be performed concomitantly due to the potential for causing implant infection by haematogenous spread or cross contamination.

Our third patient underwent bilateral autologous tissue reconstruction. Abdominal tissue is preferable for this when adequate abdominal volume is available as excellent symmetry and cosmetic results can be attained with all breast shapes and sizes (Figure 1d–f). The technique has the added advantage of not requiring intraoperative position changes. Bilateral latissimus dorsi (LD) flaps can be employed but have significant long-term morbidity. Bilateral gluteal artery perforator (GAP) flaps are more complex and either have to be staged or need complicated intraoperative arrangements. For free tissue transfers, we recommend internal mammary recipient vessel use for microvascular anastomoses.

CS is a rare but important inherited pre-disposing factor for breast cancer. Bilateral prophylactic mastectomy and immediate reconstruction substantially reduce the risk of breast cancer while excellent aesthetic results can be obtained using implant-based or autologous reconstructive techniques.
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